

# Lecture Notes: Probability Set 3

Ashoka University

Fall 2025

# Tower Property of Conditional Expectation

## Theorem (Tower Property)

Let  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras such that  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $X$  be an rv on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Then  $E[E[X|\mathcal{G}]] = E[X|\mathcal{H}]$ .

- **Proof:** We want to find r.v  $Y$  s.t. it is  $\mathcal{H}$ -m'sble, and

$$\int_H Y dP = \int_H E[X|\mathcal{G}] dP \quad \forall H \in \mathcal{H}$$

- But  $\int_H E[X|\mathcal{G}] dP = \int_H X dP \quad \forall H \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- And  $\int_H E[X|\mathcal{H}] dP = \int_H X dP, \quad \forall H \in \mathcal{H}$
- Since  $Y$  is unique, it follows that  $Y = E[E[X|\mathcal{G}]] = E[X|\mathcal{H}]$  a.s

## Tower Property: Example

### Example

Let  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be s.t.  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(X, Y, Z)$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(Y, Z)$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = \sigma(Z)$ .  
Then  $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$  becomes  $E[X|Y, Z]$ .

Assuming  $X, Y, Z$  take discrete values,

$$E[X|Y = y_j, Z = z_l] = \sum_k x_k P(X = x_k | Y = y_j, Z = z_l)$$

Now, we compute  $E[E[X|Y, Z]|Z = z_l]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_j \left( \sum_k \frac{x_k P(X = x_k, Y = y_j, Z = z_l)}{P(Y = y_j, Z = z_l)} \right) P(Y = y_j | Z = z_l) \\ \therefore &= \sum_j \sum_k \frac{x_k P(X = x_k, Y = y_j, Z = z_l)}{P(Z = z_l)} \end{aligned}$$

## Continuing from the previous step

### Example

$$E[E[X|Y, Z]|Z = z_l] = \sum_j \sum_k \frac{x_k P(X = x_k, Y = y_j, Z = z_l)}{P(Z = z_l)}$$

Changing the order of summations we get:

$$= \sum_k \frac{x_k \sum_j P(X = x_k, Y = y_j, Z = z_l)}{P(Z = z_l)}$$

$$= \sum_k \frac{x_k P(X = x_k, Z = z_l)}{P(Z = z_l)} = E[X|Z = z_l]$$

## Property: Taking out what is known

### Theorem

Let  $Z$  be an rv,  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Then  $E[XZ|\mathcal{G}] = ZE[X|\mathcal{G}]$ .

- **Proof:** Let  $Z = \mathbb{1}_H$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{G}$ .  $\therefore E[XZ|\mathcal{G}] = E[X \cdot \mathbb{1}_H|\mathcal{G}]$ .
- Let  $Y$  be s.t.  $\int_G Y dP = \int_G XZ dP$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G Y dP &= \int_G X \mathbb{1}_H dP = \int_{G \cap H} X dP \quad (G \cap H \in \mathcal{G}) \\ &= \int_{G \cap H} E[X|\mathcal{G}] dP = \int_G E[X|\mathcal{G}] \cdot \mathbb{1}_H dP\end{aligned}$$

- Thus we have shown  $Y = ZE[X|\mathcal{G}]$ , when  $Z$  is an indicator fn.

## Proof for Taking out what is known (Cont.)

- Suppose  $X \geq 0$ .  $Z_n$  a simple non-negative function that increases to  $Z \geq 0$ .
- We have  $E[XZ_n|\mathcal{G}] = Z_nE[X|\mathcal{G}]$ .
- By MCT  $E[XZ|\mathcal{G}] = ZE[X|\mathcal{G}]$
- **Last step:**  $X$  and  $Z$  need not be non-negative. We can always decompose  $X$  and  $Z$  as:  $X = X^+ - X^-$ ,  $Z = Z^+ - Z^-$  and then replicate the proof for non-negative  $X$  and  $Z$  on the component pairs.

## Conditional expectation when $X$ is independent of $\mathcal{G}$

- Suppose  $X = I_F$  and  $F$  is independent of all sets in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then,

$$\int_G I_F dP = P(G \cap F) = P(G)P(F) = \int_G P(F) dP$$

Thus,  $E(X|\mathcal{G}) = EX$  when  $X$  is an indicator function. The proof completes with standard machinery.

# Martingale

## Definition

A sequence of r.v's  $M_n : n \geq 0$  is called a martingale if:

- a)  $E|M_n| < \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0.$
- b)  $E[M_{n+1} | M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n] = M_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{F}_n : n \geq 1$  be a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras such that  $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 1$ . Let  $X_n$ , for each  $n$ , be a rv that is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable. Then  $(\mathcal{F}_n : n \geq 1)$  is said to be the filtration and  $(X_n : n \geq 1)$  is said to be a process adapted to the filtration.

Intuitively,  $\mathcal{F}_n$  can be thought of collection of info available at time  $n$ , for example winnings in a betting game for  $n$  rounds.



# Martingale definition updated

## Definition

A sequence of r.v.'s  $M_n : n \geq 0$  adapted to  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_n, P)$  (where  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}$ ) is called a martingale if:

- a)  $E|M_n| < \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0.$
- b)  $E[M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = M_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$

## Example: Mean Zero Random Walk

- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where  $E[X_i] = 0 \quad \forall i$ , and  $X_i$ 's are independent.

- a) Check integrability:  $E|S_n| = E|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i| < \infty \quad \checkmark$

- b) Check martingale property:

$$E[S_{n+1} | (X_1, \dots, X_n)] = E[S_n | (X_1, \dots, X_n)] + E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = S_n$$

- $\therefore S_n, n \geq 1$  is a martingale. (Here,  $\sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n) \subset \mathcal{F}_n$  for each  $n$ ).

## Example 2: Mean Zero Random Walk

- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where  $E[X_i] = 0 \quad \forall i$ , and  $X_i$ 's are independent.  
 $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ .
- Let  $M_n = S_n^2 - n\sigma^2$ .
  - Check martingale property:

$$\begin{aligned} E[M_{n+1} | (X_1, \dots, X_n)] &= E[(S_n + X_{n+1})^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2 | (X_1, \dots, X_n)] \\ &= S_n^2 + EX_{n+1}^2 - (n+1)\sigma^2 = M_n \end{aligned}$$

- $\therefore M_n, n \geq 1$  is a martingale.

## Example 2: Product Martingale

### Example

Let  $M_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where  $E[X_i] = 1$ ,  $X_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i$ , and  $X_i$ 's are independent.

a)  $E[M_n] = E[X_1 X_2 \dots X_n] = E[X_1] \cdot E[X_2] \cdots E[X_n] < \infty \quad \checkmark$

b)

$$\begin{aligned} E[M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] &= E[M_n \cdot X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= M_n E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= M_n E[X_{n+1}] \quad (\because X_{n+1} \& \mathcal{F}_n \text{ are indept.}) \\ &= M_n. \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M_n$  is a martingale.

# Martingale Convergence Theorem

## Theorem (Martingale Convergence Theorem)

*If  $M_n$  is a martingale such that  $\sup_n E|M_n| < \infty$ , then  $\exists M_\infty$  s.t.  $M_n \rightarrow M_\infty$  a.s.*

A powerful theorem to prove non-trivial results

## Example 3: Doob's Martingale

- Let  $X$  be a rv on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_n, P)$  such that  $E|X| < \infty$ .
- Then  $Y_n = E[X|\mathcal{F}_n]$  is a martingale.
- $E|E[X|\mathcal{F}_n]| \leq E[E[|X||\mathcal{F}_n]] = E|X| < \infty$  (Conditional Jensen's)  $\forall n$   
 $\Rightarrow \sup_n E|Y_n| < \infty$  — (A)
- $E[Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[E[X|\mathcal{F}_{n+1}]|\mathcal{F}_n] = E[X|\mathcal{F}_n]$  (By tower property)  
 $= Y_n$  — (B)
- (A) (B) imply  $\exists Y_\infty$  s.t.  $Y_n = E[X|\mathcal{F}_n] \rightarrow Y_\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (By Martingale Convergence Theorem).

## Example 4: Martingale Transform

### Example

Given a martingale  $M_n$ , one can also construct other martingales from that.

- $C_n$  is a predictable process if  $C_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable  $\forall n$ .
- Let  $M_n$  be a martingale defined over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_n, P)$ . Fix  $M_0 = c$ .
- Let  $Y_n = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k(M_k - M_{k-1})$ .
- Assume  $|C_n| \leq K \quad \forall n$ .

Then  $Y_n$  will be a martingale.

## Example 4: Proof (Integrability)

Proof.

First, we check for integrability:

$$\begin{aligned} E|Y_n| &= E \left| \sum_{k=1}^n C_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n E |C_k (M_k - M_{k-1})| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n K E |M_k - M_{k-1}| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n K (E|M_k| + E|M_{k-1}|) \\ &< \infty \quad (\because E|M_k| < \infty \quad \forall k) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$





## Example 4: Proof (Martingale Property)

Proof cont.

Next, we check the martingale property:

$$\begin{aligned}E[Y_n - Y_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= E[C_n(M_n - M_{n-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\&= C_n E[M_n - M_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\&= C_n(M_{n-1} - M_{n-1}) = 0 \\&\Rightarrow E[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = Y_{n-1} \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$



This process, known as a martingale transform, can be thought of as a discrete analog of a stochastic integral.

# Martingale Transform: Intuition

- $C_n$  can be thought of as the amount one has decided to bet in  $n^{th}$  round of a betting game which is determined by the winnings of last  $n - 1$  rounds, thus making  $C_n$   $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable;
- $(M_n - M_{n-1})$  can be thought of the winning in  $n^{th}$  round.

Observe that

$$E[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = M_{n-1} \quad \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow E[M_n] = E[M_{n-1}] \quad \forall n.$$

# Stopping Time

## Example

Let  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$  where  $X_i = \pm 1$  w.p.  $1/2$ ,  $\forall i$  denotes a simple, symmetric random walk. Let  $\tau = \inf\{n : S_n = +1\}$ .  $\tau$  is a rv that is also a stopping time.

It can be shown that  $P(\tau < \infty) = 1$ , but  $E[\tau] = \infty$ .

## Definition

rv  $\tau$  is a stopping time if  $\{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -msble for each  $n$ . (Whether or not to stop the game at  $n$  depends on the information available till time  $n$ ).

# Stopped process

- Consider again the martingale

$$Y_n = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k (M_k - M_{k-1})$$

w.r.t. filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ .

- Set  $C_n = I(\tau \geq n)$ . Then,  $Y_n = M_{n \wedge \tau}$  is a martingale w.r.t. filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ .
- Consider stopping time

$$\tau = \min\{n : S_n = A \text{ or } S_n = -B\}$$

where  $\{S_n\}$  is again a simple symmetric random walk and  $A, B$  are positive integers.

## Level crossing probability

- To find the probability  $P(S_\tau = A)$ .
- $\{S_{n \wedge \tau}\}$  is a martingale
- $\{S_{n \wedge \tau}\} \rightarrow S_\tau$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (since,  $P(\tau < \infty) = 1$ ).
- By bounded convergence theorem,  $ES_{n \wedge \tau} \rightarrow ES_\tau$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- So  $ES_\tau = 0$ .
- $AP(S_\tau = A) - BP(S_\tau = -B) = 0$  so that

$$P(S_\tau = A) = \frac{B}{A+B}$$

## Finding $E\tau$

- Consider the martingale  $M_n = S_n^2 - n$
- Again  $\{M_{n \wedge \tau}\}$  is a martingale
- $M_{n \wedge \tau} \rightarrow M_\tau$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (since,  $P(\tau < \infty) = 1$ ).
- Further,  $M_{n \wedge \tau} \leq A^2 + B^2 + \tau$  and  $E[\tau] < \infty$ . By dominated convergence theorem,  $EM_\tau = EM_1 = 0$

$$A^2 P(S_\tau = A) + B^2 P(S_\tau = B) - E\tau = 0.$$

Or

$$E\tau = AB.$$

$$E\tau < \infty$$

- To see that  $E\tau < \infty$ , recall that

$$P(\tau \geq n(A+B)) \leq a^n$$

where

$$a = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{A+B}.$$

Now for nonnegative integer  $\tau$ ,

$$E\tau = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\tau \geq n).$$

This implies that

$$E\tau < (A+B) + (A+B) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n < \infty.$$

# Martingale Stopping Time Theorem

- ① Let  $M_n$  be a martingale on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_n, P)$ ,  $\tau$  be the stopping time.  
 $M_0 = c$ .
- ② Then  $E[M_\tau] = E[M_1]$  if:
  - ①  $\tau$  is bounded a.s. ( $\exists K < \infty$  s.t.  $P(\tau < K) = 1$ )
  - ②  $|M_n| \leq K \quad \forall n$ , and  $P(\tau < \infty) = 1$ . (by DCT,  $M_n \uparrow M_\tau$  as  $n \uparrow \infty$ ,  
 $E[M_n] \uparrow E[M_\tau]$ )
  - ③  $|M_n - M_{n-1}| \leq K \quad \forall n \geq 1$ , and  $E[\tau] < \infty$ .
- ③ (note that  $M_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (M_k - M_{k-1})$ , so  $M_\tau \leq c + K\tau$ )



## Martingale example

- Alphabet symbols are picked independently, each of the 26 equally likely. How many draws on average until one sees ABRACADABRA.
- Consider a gamble where a new party bets Rs.1 at each time. If A comes at the bet, the winning party wins 25 rupees and bets all of Rs 26 on B. If now B comes, it bets  $26 + 25 \times 26$  on R and so on. Whenever this party loses, its overall loss equals Rs. 1.
- Total winning of all parties at any time  $n$  is a martingale, and expected total till time  $n$  is zero.
- Suppose ABRACADABRA comes after time  $T$ . The total winnings of all parties equals
$$26^{11} + 26^{11} + 26 - T$$
- Thus,  $ET = 26^{11} + 26^{11} + 26$ .

# Submartingales

- A sequence of r.v.'s  $M_n : n \geq 0$  adapted to  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_n, P)$  (where  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}$ ) is called a sub-martingale (super-martingale) if:
  - a)  $E|M_n| < \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0.$
  - b)  $E[M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] \geq (\leq) M_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$

# Submartingale Inequality

- Let  $(M_n : n \geq 0)$  be a non-negative submgle.  $M_n^* = \sup_{0 \leq m \leq n} M_m$ .  
Then, for  $a > 0$ ,

$$P(M_n^* \geq a) \leq \frac{EM_n}{a}.$$

- Pf.** Let  $A = \{M_n^* \geq a\}$ . Then  $A = \cup_{0 \leq m \leq n} A_m$ ,
- where  $A_0 = \{M_0^* \geq a\}$ ,  $A_m = \{M_{m-1}^* < a, M_m \geq a\}$  for each  $m$ .

- Now

$$P(A_m) \leq \int_{A_m} \frac{M_m}{a} dP \leq \int_{A_m} \frac{M_n}{a} dP$$

- So,

$$P(M_n^* \geq a) = \sum_{m=0}^n P(A_m) \leq \int_A \frac{M_n}{a} dP \quad \square$$